Electronic, mechanical and optical properties of monolayer WTe$_2$ are anisotropic
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Using first-principles calculations we investigate the electronic, mechanical and optical properties of WTe$_2$. Atomic structure and ground state properties of monolayer WTe$_2$ (T$_d$ phase) are anisotropic which are in contrast to similar monolayer crystals of transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs) such as MoS$_2$, WS$_2$, MoSe$_2$, WSe$_2$, MoTe$_2$ that crystallize in the H-phase. We find that the Poisson ratio and the in-plane stiffness is direction dependent due to the symmetry breaking induced by the dimerization of the W atoms along one of the lattice directions of the compound. Since the semimetallic behavior of the T$_d$ phase originates from this W-W interaction (along the a crystallographic direction), tensile strain along the dimer direction leads to a semimetal to semiconductor transition. By solving the Bethe-Salpeter equation on top of single shot G$_0$W$_0$ calculations, we predict that the absorption spectrum of T$_d$-WTe$_2$ monolayer is strongly direction dependent and tunable by tensile strain, after % 1 strain the gap becomes 20 meV.

PACS numbers: 73.22.-f, 71.35.-y, 78.67.-n

I. INTRODUCTION

Single layer TMDs are promising candidates for next generation of flexible nanoelectronic devices due to their wide range of remarkable properties. 1–3 The chemical formula of TMDs is MX$_2$, where M stands for a transition metal (e.g. Mo and W) and X is a chalcogen atom (e.g. S, Se and Te). One of the most important properties of TMDs is the crossover from indirect to direct band gap when the number of layers is reduced to a single layer. 4, 5 Bulk TMDs are layered structures that are held together by weak van der Waals interaction. A single layer TMD can be obtained from their three-dimensional (3D) counterpart by using e.g. the micromechanical cleavage technique. Most of these materials are either in the H phase or the T phase and very few of them are stable in both T and H phases. Rarely some of them can be found in the T$_d$ structure where there are bonds between the metal atoms so that they dimerize along one of the lattice directions. 6, 7

Although the atoms which form WTe$_2$ are located in the same row of the periodic table as the compounds with H-phase as their ground state, the ground state of WTe$_2$ is the T$_d$ structure. This difference in the geometric structure separates WTe$_2$ from these H-phase compounds. Earlier reports suggested that T$_d$-WTe$_2$ is a semimetallic compound 8–11, in contrast to other TMDs in the H-phase i.e. MoS$_2$, MoSe$_2$, WS$_2$ and WSe$_2$ that are semiconductors. In addition to its semimetallic nature, very recent studies showed that T$_d$-WTe$_2$ has other remarkable properties such as superconductivity and anisotropic magnetoresistance which makes the compound quite attractive for nanoelectronics applications. 12–25

Motivated by these observations, in this work we investigate the anisotropic electronic, mechanical and optical properties of monolayer T$_d$-WTe$_2$ using first-principle calculations. We found that: (i) the mechanical properties such as Poisson’s ratio and in-plane stiffness are strongly anisotropic, (ii) not only electronic properties are anisotropic but also strain tunable semimetal-to-semiconductor transition takes place even at low tensile strains, and (iii) the dielectric response of the structure along parallel and perpendicular directions to the W-W dimer displays significant differences.

This paper is organized as follows: Computational details are given in Sec. II, the discussion on the stability of the different phases, the electronic, mechanical and optical properties of WTe$_2$ monolayer are presented in Sec. III. Our results are concluded in Sec. IV.

II. METHODOLOGY

All calculations are performed using the projector augmented wave (PAW) potentials as implemented in the Vienna Ab-initio Simulation Package (VASP) including spin-orbit coupling (SOC). 29, 30 The electronic exchange-correlation potential is treated within the polarized generalized gradient approximation (GGA) of Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE). 31 A plane-wave basis set with kinetic energy cutoff of 500 eV is used. A vacuum spacing of more than 12 Å is taken to prevent layer-layer interactions. A set of 20×20×1 Γ-centered k-point sampling is used for the primitive unit cell and scaled according to the sizes of the supercells. The structures are relaxed until self-consistency for ionic relax-
atomic Hellman-Feynman force was minimized below \(10^{-5}\) eV/Å. Pressures on the lattice unit cell are decreased to values less than 1.0 kBar. The charge transfer between the atoms is calculated by using Bader’s charge analysis.\(^{32}\)

In order to investigate the anisotropic optical properties of monolayer WTe\(_2\), we performed a single shot GW calculation (\(G_0W_0\)) on top of the standard DFT calculations including SOC. Then, we obtain the absorption spectrum by solving the Bethe-Salpeter equation (BSE) on top of the \(G_0W_0\) calculation. During this process we used \(3 \times 6 \times 1\) \(\Gamma\) centered \(k\)-point sampling for the rectangular unit cells of H and T\(_d\) phases. The hexagonal and the \(T_d\) phases of WTe\(_2\) are numerical artifacts caused by the inaccuracy of the FFT grid to account for the rapid decay in the out-of-plane force constants. The distortion in the \(T_d\) structure lifts certain degeneracies that are present in the \(H\) structure. There is a gap between the acoustic and optic phonon branches in the \(T_d\) phase while in the \(H\) phase this gap is closed and the acoustic and optic modes are hybridized. In addition, our analysis of the vibrational character of the eigenmodes reveal structural differences between these two phases of WTe\(_2\): (i) \(H\) phase has three Raman-active modes at 117 cm\(^{-1}\), 173 cm\(^{-1}\) and 191 cm\(^{-1}\) that have \(E''\), \(A_1''\) and \(E'\) symmetries, respectively. (ii) \(T_d\) phase has many mixed eigenmodes of in-plane and out-of-plane vibrations including two characteristic Raman-active modes at 149 cm\(^{-1}\) and 234 cm\(^{-1}\). The low-frequency one corresponds to an \(E_g\)-like in-plane counter-phase motion of W and Te atoms while the high-frequency phonon branch corresponds to an out-of-plane counter-phase motion of the W and the Te atoms. The presence of these distinctive phonon modes in the two phases allows to distinguish between angular \(T_d\)-WTe\(_2\) unit cell. The cutoff for the response function was set to 200 eV. The number of bands used in our calculation is 160. The cutoff energy for the plane-waves was chosen to be 400 eV. We include 4 valence and 4 conduction bands into the calculations in the BSE step. We set the energy convergence as \(10^{-5}\) eV between two consecutive steps.

III. RESULTS

A. Stability of WTe\(_2\)

Earlier reports suggest that WTe\(_2\) is either in the \(T_d\)\(^{8–10,35}\) or the \(H\)\(^{36}\) phase. Both phases are shown in Fig. 1. In order to obtain the most favorable structure of the compound we compared the total energies of the 2 \(\times\) 2 unit cells of the H and \(T_d\) structures of WTe\(_2\). We found that, in agreement earlier reports, the \(T_d\) structure is energetically the most favorable structure when in the monolayer form. The structure has \(\sim 0.075\) eV lower energy per formula unit than the H structure. The \(H\) phase of the compound is a semiconductor while the \(T_d\) phase is semimetallic. The ground state properties of both phases are listed in Table I.

To examine the dynamic stability of the H and the \(T_d\) structures of WTe\(_2\) we calculated the phonon spectra. Phonon spectra are calculated using the small displacement method as implemented in the PHON software package.\(^{37}\) The force constant matrix is calculated by displacing atoms from their equilibrium positions in a \((6 \times 6 \times 1)\) supercell. As seen in Fig. 4, the phonon spectra for both the \(H\) and \(T_d\) structure have no imaginary frequencies in the whole Brillouin Zone which indicates that there is a restoring force for any possible distortion around equilibrium. Small imaginary frequencies in the out-of-plane acoustic mode near the \(\Gamma\) point are numerical artifacts caused by the inaccuracy of the FFT grid to account for the rapid decay in the out-of-plane force constants. The distortion in the \(T_d\) structure lifts certain degeneracies that are present in the \(H\) structure. There is a gap between the acoustic and optic phonon branches of the \(H\) structure while in the \(T_d\) structure this gap is closed and the acoustic and optic modes are hybridized. In addition, our analysis of the vibrational character of the eigenmodes reveal structural differences between these two phases of WTe\(_2\): (i) \(H\) phase has three Raman-active modes at 117 cm\(^{-1}\), 173 cm\(^{-1}\) and 191 cm\(^{-1}\) that have \(E''\), \(A_1''\) and \(E'\) symmetries, respectively. (ii) \(T_d\) phase has many mixed eigenmodes of in-plane and out-of-plane vibrations including two characteristic Raman-active modes at 149 cm\(^{-1}\) and 234 cm\(^{-1}\). The low-frequency one corresponds to an \(E_g\)-like in-plane counter-phase motion of W and Te atoms while the high-frequency phonon branch corresponds to an out-of-plane counter-phase motion of the W and the Te atoms. The presence of these distinctive phonon modes in the two phases allows to distinguish between...
TABLE I: Calculated ground state properties of T$_d$ (rectangular cell) and H phases (hexagonal cell) of WTe$_2$ monolayer. Calculated lattice parameters $a$ and $b$, the distance between two W atoms and W nearest neighbor Te atoms (the second nearest neighbor distance is given in the parenthesis), the total amount of charge lost by the W atoms $\Delta \rho$, workfunction $\Phi$, calculated Poisson’s ratio $\nu$ and in-plane stiffness along the W-W dimer direction $C$, the values for the perpendicular direction are given in the parenthesis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$a$ (Å)</th>
<th>$b$ (Å)</th>
<th>$d_{W-W}$ (Å)</th>
<th>$d_{W-Te}$ (Å)</th>
<th>$\Delta \rho$</th>
<th>$E_\rho$/atom (eV)</th>
<th>$\Phi$ (eV)</th>
<th>$\nu$</th>
<th>$C$ (eV/Å$^2$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T$_d$-WTe$_2$</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>6.30</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>2.73 (2.83)</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>4.57</td>
<td>4.39</td>
<td>0.26 (0.38)</td>
<td>4.45 (6.56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-WTe$_2$</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>4.54</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>0.18$^\text{a}$</td>
<td>5.42$^\text{b}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

the two phases WTe$_2$ via Raman measurements.

B. Mechanical Properties

As mentioned before, the T$_d$ phase of monolayer WTe$_2$ is more stable than its H phase (by 30meV in cohesive energy, see Table I), not only on a substrate (in experiments) but also when it is freestanding. So, in the rest of the paper we will only concentrate on the T$_d$ phase of the compound.

The elastic properties of a two-dimensional (2D) material can be characterized by two independent constants; the in-plane stiffness $C$, which represents the rigidity or the flexibility of the material, and the Poisson’s ratio $\nu$, which is defined as the mechanical response of the material to applied external stress. Most of the materials have the tendency to compress in one direction when they are expanded in the perpendicular directions. This phenomenon known is as Poisson’s effect. The ratio of the transverse contraction strain to longitudinal expansion strain is defined as the measure of this effect, namely Poisson’s ratio $\nu = -\epsilon_{\text{trans}}/\epsilon_{\text{axial}}$.

The elastic constants can be deduced from DFT calculations taking the relation between the total energy and the applied strain to be $E_S = c_1\epsilon_x^2 + c_2\epsilon_y^2 + c_3\epsilon_x\epsilon_y$ in the harmonic approximation, where $E_S$ is the energy difference between the strained and unstrained structures and $\epsilon_x$ and $\epsilon_y$ are the applied strain along the parallel and perpendicular directions to the dimers, respectively. The in-plane stiffness of the material along $x$ and $y$ directions are then defined as $C_x = (1/S_0)(2c_1 - c_3^2/2c_2)$ and $C_y = (1/S_0)(2c_2 - c_3^2/2c_1)$, where $S_0$ is the unstretched area of the supercell, respectively. Similarly, the Poisson’s ratio of the material along $x$ and $y$ directions are defined as $\nu_x = c_3/2c_2$ and $\nu_y = c_3/2c_1$, respectively. Hence, the elastic properties can be calculated if the values of $c_1$, $c_2$ and $c_3$ constants in the definition of $E_S$ are known.

In order to find these constants, we apply strain $\epsilon_x$ (along dimer) and $\epsilon_y$ (perpendicular to dimer) to the $4 \times 2 \times 1$ supercell of monolayer T$_d$-WTe$_2$ by changing the lattice constant from -2 to 2 % with steps of 0.5 % along the $x$ and $y$ directions. We first change the $\epsilon_x$ in the given range by taking $\epsilon_y = 0$ and then change $\epsilon_y$ by taking $\epsilon_x = 0$ and fit the data to the parabola from the definition of $E_S$. After obtaining the values for $c_1$ and $c_2$, we apply equal strain simultaneously along $x$ and $y$ directions, i.e. $\epsilon_x = \epsilon_y$ and fit the data to $E_S$ to find $c_3$. In order to check the accuracy of our method we calculated the $C$ and the $\nu$ parameters of graphene and found 21.42 eV/Å$^2$ and 0.17, respectively. These values are consistent with the earlier reported theoretical values which are 21.25 eV/Å$^2$ and 0.17 respectively and shows the accuracy of our methodology.$^{38,39}$ The experimental $\nu$ value is also consistent with the theoretical results which is 0.19.$^{40}$

In isotropic 2D materials the elastic constants along $x$ and $y$ directions are identical due to the symmetry of the lattice. Our calculations show anisotropy in the
Poisson’s ratio and the in-plane stiffness along \( x \) (parallel to dimer) and \( y \) (perpendicular to dimer) directions of the \( T_d \)-\( \text{WTe}_2 \) monolayer. This is expected since the dimerization breaks the symmetry of the structure. The calculated in-plane stiffness along parallel and perpendicular directions to the dimer are 4.45 eV/Å\(^2\) and 6.56 eV/Å\(^2\), respectively. These values are smaller than that of graphene (21.42 eV/Å\(^2\)) and functionalized graphene-like materials. This indicates that WTe\(_2\) is more flexible than these materials. The calculated Poisson’s ratio along the parallel and perpendicular directions to the dimers are also anisotropic, having values 0.26 and 0.38, respectively. These values are slightly larger than that of graphene (0.17) and its derivatives which indicates that WTe\(_2\) has less ability to preserve its area. The anisotropy in Poisson’s ratio shows that the compound is less responsive under strain along the dimers than in the perpendicular direction.

As a further investigation, we also analyze the mechanical response of the compound under high strain values. For this purpose, we used a \( 2 \times 1 \) supercell of \( T_d \)-\( \text{WTe}_2 \) monolayer and apply subsequently strain only parallel to the dimer direction, only perpendicular to the dimer direction and equal simultaneous strain along both directions. Our test calculations show that the Poisson’s ratio and the in-plane stiffness values are almost the same for \( 2 \times 1 \) and \( 4 \times 2 \) supercells. The \( E_a \) values of these cases are shown in Fig. 2. We see that the compound preserves its structural stability much longer when strained along the dimers than in the perpendicular direction. As shown in Fig. 3 the structure can preserve its symmetry even under a strain of 40 % along the dimer direction, but it starts to deform when the strain is 16 % along the direction perpendicular to the dimers.

C. Electronic and Optical Properties

The band structure of \( T_d \)-\( \text{WTe}_2 \) monolayer is shown in Fig. 3(a). The compound has semimetallic ground state when it is unstrained. The valence and the conduction bands are crossing the Fermi level along the \( \Gamma \)-\( X \) and \( \Gamma \)-\( \text{M} \) (very close to the \( \Gamma \) point) directions in the Brillouin zone (BZ). The valence band maximum (VBM) of the monolayer is at the \( \Gamma \) point, while the CBM is situated along the \( \Gamma \)-\( X \) direction but closer to the \( \Gamma \) point. In the unstrained semimetallic case, the CBM is 6.7 meV below the VBM (the first data point in Fig. 3(b)).

The conduction band crosses the Fermi level along the \( \Gamma \)-\( X \) direction which is the W-W dimer direction, in accordance with the fact that the dimerization contributes to the metallic ground state of the \( T_d \)-\( \text{WTe}_2 \) monolayer. In order to see the effect of the reduction in the W-W interaction on the electronic structure, in Fig. 3(b) we plot the energy difference between the CBM and the VBM with respect to increasing applied tensile strain in the parallel and the perpendicular direction to the W-W dimers. As mentioned before, the CBM is below the VBM in the unstrained phase. When the external tensile strain is applied along the W-W dimer, the CBM moves up while the VBM moves down in energy and the system undergoes a semimetal to semiconductor transition at 1 % strain. On the other hand, when external strain is applied along the perpendicular direction to the W-W dimers, the compound stays semimetallic even for
The anisotropic electronic structure of T\textsubscript{d}-WTe\textsubscript{2} is even more clear when the conduction and valence band edges are presented as 2D contour plots along the whole BZ, as seen in Fig. 3(c). Here the black circles correspond to the Fermi surfaces created by the valence and the conduction band edges both crossing the Fermi level. The surface created by the valence band edge is centered around the Γ point while the conduction band edge creates surfaces centered along the Γ-X direction (i.e. this is the x-axis in Fig. 3(c)). When tensile strain is applied along the perpendicular direction to the W-W dimers, the contour plots exhibit minor changes. However, when the tensile strain is applied along the dimer direction the Fermi surfaces shrink and finally disappear. The semimetal to semiconductor transition occurs at approximately 1 % strain.

In Fig. 4 we plot the strain dependent imaginary part of the dielectric function of T\textsubscript{d}-WTe\textsubscript{2} monolayer. Figs. 4(a) and 4(b) represent the dependence of the dielectric function to strain along (red curves) and perpendicular (green curves) to the W-W dimers in the compound. The light, normal and dark red (green) represent the dielectric function for different strain values; 0.000, 0.005 and 0.010, respectively. As can be seen from the figures, due to the different symmetry along these two directions, the imaginary part of the dielectric function are different. The position of the A peak is the same for both directions with and without external tensile strain, however their intensity are not the same. The position of the peak B is slightly different along the different directions for the unstrained case. The peak of the dielectric function along the dimer direction is closer to the peak A than for the other direction. When 0.010 % external tensile strain is applied along the dimers (Fig. 4(a)), peak B shifts to higher energy and the peak position of the dielectric function along and perpendicular to the direction of the dimers become almost equal.

The reaction of the dielectric function to the external tensile strain applied along the perpendicular direction to the W-W dimers (Fig. 4(b)) are different from the previous case. As can be seen from the figure the B peak shifts to lower energies when strain is applied in the perpendicular direction to the dimers contrary to the case shown in Fig. 4(a). When strain reaches 0.010, the peak for the dielectric function along and perpendicular to the dimer are separated from each other. Another interesting point is that the dielectric function for the perpendicular direction to the dimers is independent from the applied strain (green lines), its peak position do not change with external tensile strain.

**IV. CONCLUSIONS**

In this work, we investigated the anisotropic mechanical, electronic and optical properties of T\textsubscript{d}-WTe\textsubscript{2} monolayer. We found that the T\textsubscript{d} phase of the WTe\textsubscript{2} monolayer, which exhibits W-W dimerization along one of the lattice parameter, has ~0.075 eV lower energy per formula unit than the H phase. This W-W dimerization changes the response of the compound to external tensile strain depending on the direction of the applied strain with respect to the dimerization direction. This leads to a different Poisson’s ratio and different in-plane stiffness along and perpendicular direction of the W-W dimers. Our strain dependent electronic structure calculations show that the T\textsubscript{d}-WTe\textsubscript{2} monolayer become a semiconductor when it is strained by 1 % along the dimer direction while strain along the perpendicular direction has minor effects on the electronic structure. Our investigations on the strain dependent optical properties of the compound show that the imaginary part of the dielectric function behave differently along the different directions. Our calculations reveal that monolayer WTe\textsubscript{2} together with its anisotropic and tunable properties may find applications in the field of nanoscale devices.
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